

# Planning Committee

## SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA

**DATE: Wednesday 19 June 2013**

### AGENDA - PART I

**4. MINUTES** (Pages 1 - 12)

That the minutes of the meeting held on 29 May 2013 be taken as read and signed as a correct record.

**8. REFERENCES FROM COUNCIL AND OTHER COMMITTEES/PANELS** (Pages 13 - 16)

To receive a reference from the Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 4 June 2013 regarding a petition of 1,125 signatures supporting the Vaughan School planning application.

*Note: In accordance with the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985, the following agenda items have been admitted late to the agenda by virtue of the special circumstances and urgency detailed below:-*

Agenda item

Special Circumstances/Grounds for Urgency

4. Minutes

The minutes of the last meeting were not available at the time the agenda was printed and circulated as they were being consulted on. Members are requested to consider this item, as a matter of urgency to enable them to be approved.

8. References from Council and other Committees/Panels

The reference from the Overview and Scrutiny Committee was not available at the time the agenda was printed and circulated as it was being consulted on. Members are requested to consider this item, as a matter of urgency as the planning application is before the Committee.

## **AGENDA - PART II - NIL**

# PLANNING COMMITTEE

## MINUTES

### 29 MAY 2013

**Chairman:** \* Councillor William Stoodley

**Councillors:** \* Mrinal Choudhury \* Joyce Nickolay (3)  
\* Stephen Greek \* Bill Phillips  
\* Graham Henson (1) \* Stephen Wright

\* Denotes Member present  
(1), (3) Denote category of Reserve Members

#### 396. Attendance by Reserve Members

**RESOLVED:** To note the attendance at this meeting of the following duly appointed Reserve Members:-

Ordinary Member

Councillor Keith Ferry  
Councillor Simon Williams

Reserve Member

Councillor Graham Henson  
Councillor Joyce Nickolay

#### 397. Appointment of Vice-Chairman

**RESOLVED:** To appoint Councillor Stephen Greek as Vice-Chairman of the Planning Committee for the 2013/2014 Municipal Year.

### 398. Right of Members to Speak

**RESOLVED:** That, in accordance with Committee Procedure Rule 4.1, the following Councillors, who were not Members of the Committee, be allowed to speak on the agenda item indicated:

| <u>Councillor</u>         | <u>Planning Application</u>                             |
|---------------------------|---|
| James Bond                | 2/10 37 Headstone Lane, Harrow                          |
| Barry Macleod - Cullinane | 2/02 17 Sites Around Stanmore, Belmont and Canons Park  |
| Ajay Maru                 | 2/01 Land Rear of 350 – 352 Eastcote Lane, South Harrow |

Application 2/10 was deferred to enable a site visit to be made so the Member did not speak.

### 399. Declarations of Interest

**RESOLVED:** To note that the following interests were declared:

Agenda Item 11 – Planning application 2/01 – Land Rear of 350 – 352 Eastcote Lane, South Harrow

Councillor Graham Henson declared a disclosable pecuniary interest in that he lived close to the development. He would leave the room whilst the matter was considered and voted upon.

Agenda Item 11 – Planning application 2/02 – 17 Sites Around Stanmore, Belmont and Canons Park

Councillor Stephen Greek declared a disclosable pecuniary interest in that he and his wife were members of the Synagogue and lived within the application site. He would leave the room whilst the matter was considered and voted upon.

Councillor Barry Macleod - Cullinane declared a non-pecuniary interest in that he was a Ward Councillor. He would remain in the room whilst the matter was considered and voted upon.

Agenda Item 11 – Planning application 2/03 – 50 Langland Crescent, Stanmore

Councillors Mrinal Choudhury, Graham Henson and Bill Phillips declared non-pecuniary interests in that the agent for the planning application was related to a Labour Councillor whom they knew personally. They would remain in the room whilst the matter was considered and voted upon.

Agenda Item 11 – Planning application 4/01 – Application by Thames Water Utilities Ltd for the proposed Development Consent Order of the Thames Tideway Tunnel

Councillor Stephen Greek declared a non-pecuniary interest in that he was an employee of the London Assembly and had been involved in the consultation for the scheme. He would remain in the room whilst the matter was considered and voted upon.

#### **400. Minutes**

**RESOLVED:** That the minutes of the meeting held on 17 April 2013 be taken as read and signed as a correct record, subject to it being noted that Councillor Sachin Shah had been in attendance as a reserve for Councillor William Stoodley.

#### **401. Public Questions and Deputations**

**RESOLVED:** To note that no public questions were put or deputations received.

#### **402. Petitions**

**RESOLVED:** To note the receipt of the following petitions:

- (1) Petition containing 1125 signatures in support of planning application P/2515/12 regarding Vaughan School;
- (2) Petition containing 29 signatures expressing concern at retrospective planning application P/2982/12 at Gavarnie, Penketh Drive, Mount Park Road, Harrow on the Hill.

#### **403. References from Council and other Committees/Panels**

**RESOLVED:** To note that there were none.

#### **404. Representations on Planning Applications**

**RESOLVED:** That in accordance with the provisions of Committee Procedure Rule 30 (Part 4B of the Constitution), representations be received in respect of item 2/01 on the list of planning applications.

### **RESOLVED ITEMS**

#### **405. Planning Applications Received**

In accordance with the Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985, the Addendum was admitted late to the agenda as it contained information relating to various items on the agenda and was based on information received after the despatch of the agenda. It was admitted to the agenda in order to enable Members to consider all information relevant to the items before them for decision.

**RESOLVED:** That authority be given to the Divisional Director of Planning to issue the decision notices in respect of the applications considered.

**BRADSTOWE HOUSE, HEADSTONE ROAD, HARROW (APPLICATION 1/01)**

Reference: P/1205/13 – (Comer Homes). Variation of Condition 18 (Approved Plans) attached to Planning Permission EAST/106/01/FUL dated 16/09/2005 to Increase the Number of Residential Flats within the Development from 144 to 177 and Revise the Mix to 51 One Bedroom and 126 Two Bedroom Apartments, Remove the Class D2 Floorspace from the First Floor, Remove Dome And Balustrade from the Top Floor and Simplification of Elevation to Ground Floor on Greenhill Way Elevation.

**DECISION:** That consideration of the application be deferred to enable a further period of consultation.

**BRADSTOWE HOUSE, HEADSTONE ROAD, HARROW (APPLICATION 1/02)**

Reference: P/1206/13 – (Comer Homes). Application for Modification of Section 106 Agreement dated 16/09/2005 Relating to Land at Bradstowe House, Headstone Road, Harrow to Amend Schedule 2 Paragraph 1 to Provide Rented Accommodation Within the Scheme Rather than Contribute to Affordable Housing Off Site.

**DECISION:** That consideration of the application be deferred to enable a further period of consultation.

**LAND REAR OF 350-352 EASTCOTE LANE, SOUTH HARROW (APPLICATION 2/01)**

Reference: P/0114/13 – (Panstar Limited). Construction of a Pair of Semi-Detached Dwelling-Houses and Associated Parking Landscaping Bin and Cycle Storage.

An officer introduced the report, providing further information on the overall floor space and individual room sizes which met current requirements.

In response to questions it was noted that:

- there were no guidelines in relation to overlooking standards for bungalows;
- there were no planning issues with regard to the toilet opening out into the kitchen;
- the access road was in private ownership and was likely to be in shared ownership. There was a condition that required the access road to be lit;

- cars currently entered the service road and there was no reason to suggest this could not continue. An improvement to the access from the road to Kings Road could be sought but could not be required due to the reduction in traffic movements;
- there would be 6 refuse bins for the 2 properties;
- side facing bathroom windows would be obscured as required by legislation;
- Condition 6 required landscape screening;
- Land ownership was not a planning issue.

A Member of the Committee proposed refusal on the following grounds:

1. The development of 2 two storey houses on this remote and isolated plot of land would result in an over intensive use and amount to overdevelopment of the site to the detriment of neighbouring residents. Further the proposed development is out of character in terms of its appearance with regard to the plot of land available, contrary to policies CS1.B or the Harrow Core Strategy, Saved Policy D4 of the Harrow Unitary Development Plan 2004, policy DM1 of the emerging Harrow Development Management DPD, and policies 7.4 and 7.6 of the London Plan .

2. The proposed development would cause unacceptable harm to the amenity of surrounding buildings, including loss of privacy. The proposed internal layout would also harm the amenity of occupiers of the development. It would therefore be contrary to saved policy D5 of the Harrow Unitary Development Plan 2004, policy DM1 of the emerging Harrow Development Management DPD, and policy 7.6 of the London Plan.

The motion was seconded, put to the vote and carried.

The Committee received representations from an objector, Linda Lombard, and a representative of the Applicant, Derek Bromley.

**DECISION: REFUSED** permission for the development as described on the application and submitted plans for the reason given above.

*The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to refuse the application was unanimous.*

## **17 SITES AROUND STANMORE, BELMONT AND CANONS PARK (APPLICATION 2/02)**

Reference: P/0266/13 – (United Synagogue). Construction of Pole and Wire Gateways and Steel Posts to Form An Eruv for Belmont.

An officer introduced the report and clarified that the application comprised 16 separate sites across the Borough as listed in the report. The application was an extension to the previously approved Eruv.

**DECISION: GRANTED** permission for the development as described on the application and submitted plans, conditions and informatives reported.

*The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous.*

#### **50 LANGLAND CRESCENT, STANMORE (APPLICATION 2/03)**

Reference: P/0422/13 – (Mr M Chauhan). Single Storey Rear Extension

**DECISION: GRANTED** permission for the development as described on the application and submitted plans, conditions and informatives reported.

*The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous.*

#### **BTM PRINT LTD, RODWELL PLACE, WHITCHURCH LANE, EDGWARE (APPLICATION 2/04)**

Reference: P/0539/13 – (BTM Print Ltd). Demolition of Existing Building and Construction of Two Storey Building Comprising Five Residential Units with Associated Parking, Refuse Storage and Amenity Space.

In response to questions it was noted that:

- the refuse collection area reflected the current arrangements;
- the vehicle access was set back from a signaled junction.

**DECISION: GRANTED** permission for the development as described on the application and submitted plans, conditions and informatives reported.

*The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous.*

#### **12 AND 13 ST GEORGES SHOPPING CENTRE, ST ANNS ROAD, HARROW (APPLICATION 2/05)**

Reference: P/0829/13 -. (Redefine International PLC). Change of Use from Retail to Restaurant (Use Class A1 to Use Class A3); Ventilation Flue.

An officer introduced the application. It was noted that the extract duct would be obscured from view by the existing ventilation grilles on the Kymberley Road elevation.

**DECISION: GRANTED** permission for the development as described on the application and submitted plans, as amended by the addendum, conditions and informatives reported.



*The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous.*

## **12 – 14 STATION ROAD, HARROW (APPLICATION 2/06)**

Reference: P/0729/13 – (Mr S Kazmi). Change of Use from Office (Class B1) to Educational Use (Class D1).

An officer introduced the application and reported that it had been submitted to the Committee because the floor space was in excess of the Scheme of Delegation. The applicant had demonstrated that the property had been unsuccessfully marketed for a period in excess of two years. Attention was drawn to the addendum which proposed slightly longer hours of use.

In response to a question, it was reported that:

- the Sunday and Bank Holiday opening hours were in respect of library use only;
- Condition 3 required a robust travel plan.

**DECISION: GRANTED** permission for the development as described on the application and submitted plans, as amended by the addendum, conditions and informatives reported.

*The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous.*

## **SHISHU BHAVAN, 25-27 HIGH STREET, EDGWARE (APPLICATION 2/07)**

Reference: P/3012/12 – (Shishukunj). Two and Three Storey Rear Extension and Extension to Roof to Create a New 3rd Floor; Change of Use From Office, Workshop and Car Showroom (Use Class B1 / Sui Generis) to Community Centre with Caretakers Flat (Use Class D1/D2/C3); Small Enlargement to Existing Basement; External Alterations; Extract Flue on Side Elevation; Associated Car Parking; Landscaping; Bin Storage and New Boundary Treatment

In response to questions it was noted that:

- any arising licensing implications were not a planning consideration. However, the planning officers worked closely with the Environmental Health service;
- information had not been provided on the expected occupancy but it would be restricted by Condition 6;
- the colours in the plans were illustrative and there was no condition to enforce or propose colours;

- the distance from the listed building was unaffected.

**DECISION:**

- (1) **GRANTED** permission for the development as described on the application and submitted plans, subject to the completion of a Section 106 Agreement by 29 August 2013, as amended by the addendum, conditions and informatives reported;
- (2) the delegation to the Divisional Director of Planning in consultation with the Director of Legal and Governance Services to complete the S106 Agreement and to agree any minor amendments to the conditions or the Heads of Terms of the legal agreement, be agreed;
- (3) the delegation to the Divisional Director of Planning to REFUSE planning permission on the grounds detailed in the report if the Section 106 Agreement was not completed by 29 August 2013, be agreed.

*The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous.*

**24 HIGH STREET, PINNER (APPLICATION 2/08)**

Reference: P/0393/13 – (Mr Andrew Jaye). Change of Use of Ground and First Floors from Flower Shop (Use Class A1) to a Grooming Parlour and Associated Services Including Sale of Canine Accessories (Sui Generis).

In response to questions, it was reported that:

- officers were satisfied that ventilation and disposal of animal waste would be controlled through other legislation as it was not a planning consideration. It would not therefore be possible to impose a condition such as the requirement for a plastic bag dispenser at the entrance;
- the normal green and brown bin refuse service would apply;
- in effect the premises would be self regulating as to the number of dogs at the premises at any one time. Any resulting noise nuisance would be a matter for the Environmental Health Service but an informative could be included. It was agreed that an informative be issued with regard to noise and dog mess.

**DECISION: GRANTED** permission for the development as described on the application and submitted plans, as amended by the addendum, conditions and informatives reported plus an additional informative as follows:

The attention of the applicant is drawn to the requirements of Environmental Health legislation in the interest of maintaining a hygienic environment in the property at all times, and in respect of any noise issues that may arise from the approved use. Under the terms of the Water Resources Act 1991, the prior written consent of the Environment Agency Thames Region is currently

required for any discharge of sewage or effluents onto or into ground and for surface water runoff into groundwater. Contact the Consent Department on 08708 506506 for further details.

*The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous.*

### **19 - 25 BUCKINGHAM ROAD, EDGWARE (APPLICATION 2/09)**

Reference: P/0370/13 – (Mr Ali Al-Kateb). Change of Use from Offices to Childrens Nursery and Educational Centre; Two Storey Side to Rear Extension; Provision of Parking Landscaping and Refuse Storage; Timber Canopy Over Play Area and External Alterations; Front Sliding Gate Adjacent to Eastern Boundary and Relocation of Existing Crossover.

The officer reported that a site visit had been made. The property had been empty for a while and the officers were of the view that nursery use was acceptable in principle.

In response to questions, it was noted that condition 16 sought a detailed parking scheme, including a detailed scheme for staggered vehicle pick up and drop off times for nursery/tutorial classes. There were ten parking spaces with several managed on site and space for turnround maneuvers and drop offs. The use of parking spaces would be predominately for staff with some available for public use. The officers would investigate whether the travel plan could ensure the prevention of double parking due to the narrow width of the road in addition to the yellow line waiting restrictions that applied all day Monday – Friday.

It was noted that children would enter the premises through the door on Buckingham Road with secondary entrance as indicated by the officer. The blue area on the plan was for dropping off only

**DECISION: GRANTED** permission for the development as described on the application and submitted plans, as amended by the addendum, conditions and informatives reported.

*The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous.*

### **37 HEADSTONE LANE, HARROW (APPLICATION 2/10)**

Reference: P/0172/13 – (Mr Lester Emmanuel). Change of Use of Dwelling House (Class C3) to Residential Care Home (Class C2).

The officer had sought clarification on whether the owners would continue to be resident at the property and had been advised that the residential care home would be staffed 24 hours a day but not necessarily with the same 3 members of staff rotating. In view of this new information it was moved, seconded and agreed that a site visit would be beneficial.

**DECISION:** That the application be deferred to enable a site visit to be made

*The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to undertake a site visit was unanimous.*

### **221 – 227 HIGH ROAD, HARROW (APPLICATION 2/11)**

Reference: P/0183/13 – (Mr Bernard Johnson). Change of Use of Ground and First Floors from Restaurant (Use Class A3) to Mixed Use Education Training Counselling and Place Of Worship (Use Class D1).

In response to a question, it was noted that a robust travel plan would be sought.

It was noted that condition 2 should read "The approved use shall be open between the hours of 08.00 to 22.00 Monday to Friday, 08.00 to 21.00 on Saturdays and 10.00 and 21.00 on Sundays and shall not be open at any other time except with the prior agreement in writing of the Local Planning Authority.

**DECISION: GRANTED** permission for the development as described on the application and submitted plans, as amended by the addendum, conditions and informatives reported.

*The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous.*

### **776 KENTON LANE, HARROW (APPLICATION 2/12)**

Reference: P/0517/13 – (Mr Ciaran Horohoe). Variation of Wording to Condition 3 of Listed Building Consent P/2110/12 dated 28/09/2012 to Read Demolition Work Shall Be Carried Out By Hand Or By Tools Held In The Hand; and If Power Drive Tools Are Required, Named Operatives to Carry Out Works Shall Illustrate Their Appropriate Skill Levels for Use of Driven Tools for Removal of Masonry Involving Historic Fabric to the Satisfaction of Historic Buildings Consultant and/or Engineer.

**DECISION: GRANTED** permission for the variation to Condition 3 as described on the application and submitted plans, as amended by the addendum, conditions and informatives reported.

*The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous.*

### **BROADFIELDS, HEADSTONE LANE, HARROW (APPLICATION 3/01)**

Reference: P/0304/13 – (Harrow Community Sports Ltd). Change of Use of 2.2ha of Land (Formerly Used As Playing Fields) to Touring Caravan and Camping Site (75 Pitches); Formation of Accessways; Construction of Reception Building and Waste Disposal Point; Associated Works and Landscaping.

**DECISION:** That it be noted that the application had been withdrawn.

**CONSULTATION FROM OTHER AUTHORITY: APPLICATION BY THAMES WATER UTILITIES LTD FOR THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT CONSENT ORDER OF THE THAMES TIDEWAY TUNNEL (APPLICATION 4/01)**

Reference: P/1189/13 – (Thames Water Utilities Ltd). Consultation from Other Authority: Application By Thames Water Utilities Ltd for the Proposed Development Consent Order of The Thames Tideway Tunnel.

**DECISION:** That the Planning Inspectorate be informed that Harrow Council raises NO OBJECTION to the application.

*The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to raise no objection was unanimous.*

**406. Scheme of Delegation and Permitted Development**

The Committee considered a report of the Divisional Director of Planning which sought to amend and extend the previously approved scheme of delegation dated March 2012 to make provision for changes resulting from amendments to the Town and Country Planning General Permitted Development Order.

An officer outlined the new provisions and undertook to provide briefing notes on the various aspects. In response to a question, it was advised that, although there was no requirement for an application form, a draft form had been created to encourage applicants to provide maximum information. There were no fees for neighbour consultations which could have a significant impact on the planning department, particularly when a site inspection was required. Members would be updated subsequent to the implementation of a draft fee from 1 October 2013.

**RESOLVED:** That

- (1) the revised Scheme of Delegation to the Divisional Director of Planning annexed as Appendix 1 be approved subject to clarification of the floor space referred to in paragraph 6(h) of Part 1 which would be delegated to the officers in consultation with the Chairman;
- (2) the Guidance Note on the assessment of prior approval notifications annexed as Appendix 2, be agreed.

**407. Harrow Local Information Requirements for the Validation of Planning Applications**

Consideration was given to a report which introduced a draft revised schedule of local information requirements for the validation of planning applications in accordance with the requirements of The Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) (Amendment No.3) Order 2012.

It was noted that, subject to approval by the Committee, the draft local information requirements would be published on the Council's website for 6 weeks, in accordance with statutory requirements. The outcome of the consultation together with any changes to the criteria would be submitted to the Planning Committee in July to enable the adoption of the revised criteria on 1 August 2013 in accordance with the timescales set out in the Development Management Procedure Order.

**RESOLVED:** That the draft Local Validation Requirements be approved for public consultation.

**408. Member Site Visits**

**RESOLVED:** To note the arrangement of a site visit to 37 Headstone Lane, Harrow.

(Note: The meeting, having commenced at 6.30 pm, closed at 9.28 pm).

(Signed) COUNCILLOR WILLIAM STOODLEY  
Chairman

**LONDON BOROUGH OF HARROW**

**CABINET – 20 June 2013**

**REFERENCE FROM THE OVERVIEW & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE MEETING –  
4 JUNE 2013**

**400. Petitions**

**RESOLVED:** To note, that in accordance with the Council's petition scheme (paragraph 7.3) that the following petition from 'Vaughan Parents for Progress', containing 1,125 signatures submitted to the Planning Committee on 29 May, be received. The Chairman read the terms of the petition, which were as follows:

'We are a community group that supports the proposed building project at Vaughan Primary School, for a number of important reasons. We have collected 1125 signatures from supportive Harrow residents and employees.

The school intake has now permanently increased from 60 to 90 pupils a year. As it is, the current school building is not fit for purpose, and by September 2014 it will fail to accommodate this increase without temporary classrooms.

We feel that the proposed scheme offers the best possible outcome for our children, as it will give them a wonderful learning space and will provide a more secure environment by placing the reception at the front of the school, maximising the safety of our children.

We fear further delay in the planning or building of this scheme will result in unnecessary disruption to our children's education.

Please consider who will suffer as a result of any further delay.

Residents?

Parents?

Teachers?

Staff?

Local businesses?

In their own "small way", maybe.

But, the real victims will be the children...

We urge you to pass these plans when they come before the planning committee and to ensure there is no delay in the release of funding, so that this vital building work can start as soon as possible.'

The Chairman stated that it would not be appropriate for the Committee to discuss the planning application relating to the expansion of Vaughan School, as this should be considered at the Planning Committee meeting on 19 June 2013. He stated that the meeting should focus on next steps should planning permission be granted or refused. He asked officers from the Children and Families Department to clarify the following:

- if planning permission was granted at the meeting on 19 June, was the necessary funding in place to begin the building works and what were the lead-in times for this;
- what plans were in place should planning permission be refused.

An officer from the Children and Families Department advised that:

- the proposed expansion of Vaughan School was part of the phase 1 Primary School Expansion Programme as agreed by Cabinet in 2012, whereby 13 schools had been identified for permanent expansion over the next few years;
- the planned expansion at Vaughan School was included in the Capital Programme and funding had been agreed by Members;
- the planning application relating to Vaughan School had generated a great deal of public interest, and the item had been deferred at the Planning Committee meeting of April 2013 so that additional information relating to the planning application could be sought;
- the petition was a reflection of the level of demand in Harrow for high quality education;
- all the places at the school for September 2013 had been filled including any additional places in bulge classes;
- if planning permission were to be refused, then officers would discuss with the school how additional pupils could be accommodated at the school from September 2014 onwards. In the longer term, Vaughan School would be included in the Priority Schools Programme, which would not be available until 2017.

A Member stated that he had been previously advised that if planning permission were not granted, then the deadline set by the builders would have been missed. An officer advised that the deadline date for the builders was February 2014 and December 2014 was the anticipated completion date for the building works. The Member asked whether the building works would take place during term time only, or would they also take place during school holidays. The officer stated that it was anticipated that some of the works would take place during the summer holidays, however, as the site in front of the school was self-contained, the building works could take place during term time if necessary.

A Member asked how many pupils the school would be expected to accommodate between 2013-2017. An officer advised that between 2013-17 there would be 5 year groups, each with a bulge class, which would work out to 150 pupils over a four year period.

The Committee agreed that the petition should be referred back to the next meeting of the Planning Committee for further consideration.



## **FOR CONSIDERATION**

### Background Documents:

None

### Contact Officer:

Manize Talukdar, Democratic & Electoral Services Officer

Tel: 020 8424 1323

Email: [manize.talukdar@harrow.gov.uk](mailto:manize.talukdar@harrow.gov.uk)

This page is intentionally left blank